

## Stakeholder feedback template

Draft Rules	Issue	Feedback
<b>DIVISION 1</b>	<b>PRELIMINARY</b>	
546	Objective	
547	Application	
548	Structure of this Part	
549	Definitions	
550	Interpretation	
551	Access information standard	
<b>DIVISION 2</b>	<b>INFORMATION</b>	
552	Obligation to publish information	
553	Service and access information	
554	Standing terms	
555	Financial information	
556	Financial reporting guidelines	
557	Weighted average prices	
<b>DIVISION 3</b>	<b>ACCESS REQUESTS AND NEGOTIATIONS</b>	
558	User access guide	
559	Access requests	
560	Access Offer	
561	Negotiations	
562	Access negotiation information	
<b>DIVISION 4</b>	<b>ARBITRATION OF ACCESS DISPUTES</b>	
563	Application of Division 4	
564	Access Dispute Notice	
565	Reference to arbitration	

Draft Rules	Issue	Feedback
566	Conduct of the parties	
567	Statements to be provided to the arbitrator on appointment	
568	Arbitrator to give effect to negotiation principles	
569	Pricing and other principles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ In the circumstance that the sale of a pipeline constructed after the commencement of the Gas Code (i.e. 1997) has occurred, the alternate more prescriptive approach may result in the value of the pipeline being greater than the value reflected in the sale price of the asset when acquired by the new owner. This means that the asset valuation of such an asset, and in turn prices for services from the asset, may be higher under the alternate methodology than may be achievable under the initial rules that provides greater discretion to the arbitrator to consider a wider range of factors. Aurora Energy believes that the more prescriptive approach would therefore undermine the objectives of the Access to Non-Scheme Pipelines Rule being: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– to reduce the imbalance in bargaining power that prospective users may face when negotiating access to non-scheme pipelines: and</li> <li>– to pose a constraint on the exercise of market power by service providers for non-scheme pipelines.</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ Aurora Energy seeks that the GMRG retains the greater discretion afforded to the arbitrator in the initial drafting of rule 569 and recognises that this approach does not preclude the use of a prescriptive approach by the arbitrator so long as the objectives of the Framework are not compromised.</li> </ul>
570	Matters that may be dealt with in the determination	
571	Interim access determinations	
572	Final access determinations	
573	Effect of final access determination	
574	Arbitration procedures	
575	Experts appointed by the arbitrator	
576	Confidentiality	
577	Conflict of interest	
578	Termination of arbitration	
579	Correction of errors	

Draft Rules	Issue	Feedback
580	Costs	
581	Information to be published about access determinations	
<b>DIVISION 5 SCHEME ADMINISTRATOR</b>		
582	Role of the scheme administrator	
583	Pool of arbitrators	
584	Non-scheme pipeline arbitration guide	
<b>DIVISION 6 EXEMPTIONS</b>		
585	Exemption categories	
586	Exemption conditions	
587	Revocation	
588	Making and form of application	
589	Decision on application	
590	Decision to vary or revoke an exemption	
<b>Proposed Transitional Rules</b>		
Item 51, schedule 1	Initial financial reporting	
Item 52, schedule 1	Initial weighted average prices	
Item 53, schedule 1	Access requests before the commencement date	